From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

•	

To:		. 01
BODNER & O'ROURKE, L.L.P.	[ND 477 A.W	
Attn. O'Rourke, Thomas A.	INVITATION	TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES
425 Broadhollow Road Suite 108	/DOT 4	
Melville NY 11747	(PCI A	rticle 17(3)(a) and Rule 40.1)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Ī	
	1	
•	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
and and a second		02/08/2004
opplicant's or agent's file reference	PAYMENT DUE	within 45 Michigaldays from the above date of mailing
nternational application No.	International filing date	
PCT/US 03/32590	(day/month/year)	16/10/2003
pplicant		
ESSINA, Joséph Leo, Jr.		
This International Searching Authority		
(i) considers that there are	number of) inventions dein	ned in the international application covered
by the claims indicated MalliW/on the extra sheet:		The area international application covered
	* .	
·	·	
and it considers that the international application does n (Rules 19.1, 19.2 and 19.9) for the reasons indicated be	ot comply with the require	ments of unity of invention
( 1999 1911, 1912 and 1919) of the reasons indicated be	ACMANON RHI OXILE SUGOT.	
(ii) X has carried out a partial international search (see A	nnex) will e	stablish the international search report.
on those parts of the international application which relate	e to the invention first men	tioned in claims Nos.:
see annex		•
(iii) will establish the international search report on the other	ر از	and the same of th
to which, additional fees are paid	banz of me imamanoust s	pplication only if, and to the extent
. The applicant is hereby Invited within the time limb to discontinuous		
The applicant is hereby Invited, within the time limit indicated	above, to pay the amount	indicated below:
EUR 945.00 x 1		R 945 00
Fee per additional invention number of additional in	EU	
	nventions total ar	mount of additional fees
Or	nventions total a	mount of additional fees
Or,X	nventions total a	mount of additional fees
Or, X  The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c); the plan, a reasoned statement to the affect that this intermentance or	nventions total a	mount of additional fees
Or,	elyment of any additional plication complies with the	mount of additional fees
Or, X  The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c): the pile, a reasoned statement to the effect that this international apor that the amount of the required additional the is excessive.	ayment of any additional plication complies with the	mount of additional fees  Simple made under protest; regulisment of unity of invention
Or, X  The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c): the pile, a reasoned statement to the effect that this international apor that the amount of the required additional the is excessive.	ayment of any additional plication complies with the	mount of additional fees  Simple made under protest; regulisment of unity of invention
Or. X  The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c); the pi.e., a reasoned statement to the effect that the intermalignal aporthat the amount of the required additional the is excessive.  Claim(s); Nos. Article 17(2)(b) because of defects this in and a first and a firs	ayment of any additional plication complies with the	nount of additional fees  formay be made under protest; regularment of unity of invention  falting to be trise propable under
Or. X  The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c); the pi.o., a reasoned statement to the effect that the interminal aport that the amount of the required additional the is excessive.  Claim(s):Nos.  Article 17(2)(b) because of defects this is additional for the required additional field.	ayment of any additional plication complies with the	nount of additional fees  formay be made under protest; regularment of unity of invention  falting to be trise propable under
Or,	ayment of any additional plication complies with the and therefore have up us	Mount of additional fees  Less may be made under protest; requirement of unity of invention  Latification of the selection of the under the selection of the selection of the under the selection of the selection.
Or,  The applicant is informed that, according to Rule 40.2(c); the p i.o., a reasoned statement to the effect that the international ap or that the amount of the required additional the is bxcessive.  Claim(s):Nos.  Article 17(2)(b) because of defects thirds: Angle 17(3)(a).  The and mailing address of the International Seguring Authority.  Surropean Patent Office, P.B. 5816 Raignijaan.2	ayment of any additional plication complies with the	Mount of additional fees  Less may be made under protest; requirement of unity of invention  Latification of the selection of the under the selection of the selection of the under the selection of the selection.
Or,	ayment of any additional plication complies with the and therefore have up us	Mount of additional fees  Less may be made under protest; requirement of unity of invention  Latification of the selection of the under the selection of the selection of the under the selection of the selection.

## International application No.

PCT/US 03/32590

## INVITATION TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. Claims: 1-12

Apparatus for creating an image

2. Claims: 13-16

Apparatus for applying material to a substrate

The feature common to all claims 1-16 is a brush means. Brush means is commonly known. Therefore the common feature is not a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, since it makes no contribution over the prior art.

Since no other common feature exists which can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 between the different inventions can be seen. Consequently claims 1-16 do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.

COMMUNICATION RELATING TO THE RESULTS OF THE PARTIAL INTERNATIONAL SEARCH

- 1. The present communication is an Annex to the invitation to pay additional fees (Form PCT/ISA/206). It shows the results of the international search established on the parts of the international application which relate to the invention first mentioned in claims Nos.:
- 1-12 2.This communication is not the international search report which will be established according to Article 18 and Rule 43.
- 3.If the applicant does not pay any additional search fees, the information appearing in this communication will be considered as the result of the International search and will be included as such in the international search report.
- 4.if the applicant pays additional fees, the international search report will contain both the information appearing in this communication and the results of the international search on other parts of the international application for which such fees will have been paid.

	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	<del></del>	
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	levant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	DE 11 72 996 B (CEPELLI ALFREDO GIBERTINI V) 25 June 1964 (1964- column 2, line 44 -column 3, lin figures 1-3	06-25)	1-12
A	DE 355 014 C (SUEDDEUTSCHE KUNSTWERKSTAETTEN) 17 June 1922 (1922-06-17) page 1, line 43 -page 2, line 22	; figures	1-12
A	DE 873 225 C (MAIER KOMM GES W) 13 April 1953 (1953-04-13)		
Ą	DE 562 583 C (HUDSON MOTOR CAR C 27 October 1932 (1932-10-27)	0)	
<b>\</b>	DE 21 00 128 B (SEEFLUTH UWE) 31 August 1972 (1972-08-31)		
:	<del>-</del>	. •	
		· .	
			<u>.</u>
	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are	listed in annax.
A' docume conside E' earlier d filling di L' docume which t cliation O' docume other m	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or s cled to establish the publication date of another or other epocial reason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	Till later document published after the or priority date and not in conflicted to understand the principle invention.  "X" document of particular relevance cannot be considered novel or a involve an inventive step when document of particular relevance cannot be considered to involve document is combined with one ments, such combination being in the art.	ct with the application but a or theory underlying the calmed invention cannot be considered to the document is taken alone; the claimed invention an inventive step when the common other such documents.

2

				) PCT/US	6 03/32590
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE 1172996	В	25-06-1964	NONE		
DE 355014	С	17-06-1922	NONE		
DE 873225	C	13-04-1953	NONE"	يون سے جو سر ساخل 99 بنت سے چا الاباب سا	
DE 562583	С	27-10-1932	NONE	7 ± 0 0	
DE 2100128	В	31-08-1972	DE	2100128 B1	31-08-1972